

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,209	09/09/2003	Toshiyuki Noguchi	00862.023227.	9145	
	7590 10/15/200 CCELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PATEL, MANGLESH M		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2178			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/657,209	NOGUCHI, TOSHIYUKI					
Examiner	Art Unit					
MANGLESH M. PATEL	2178					

	MANGLESH M. PATEL	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 XI he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavity all (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	JIOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proving the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims (s): a) how the new o		be entered and an ex	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 16,20 and 21.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/CESAR B PAULA/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2178						

The proposed amendment reflects a change in the scope to the Independent claims and raises new issues for the examiner to consider. Specifically the portion describing creating/displaying a printing condition list and the available printing condition information in the claims must be reconsidered. Therefore said amendment will not be entered because said amendment would require further search and consideration by the Examiner